arXiv:1604.01199v2 [math.CO] 24 Jun 2016

THE CLOSURE OPERATOR OF *es*-SPLITTING MATROIDS

S. B. DHOTRE[1], P. P. MALAVADKAR[2] AND M. M. SHIKARE[3]

Abstract. The es-splitting operation for binary matroids is a natural generalization of Slater's n-line splitting operation on graphs. In this paper, we characterize the closure operator of the es-splitting binary matroid M_X^e in terms of the closure operator of the original binary matroid M. We also characterize the flats of the es-splitting binary matroid M_X^e in terms of the flats of the original binary matroid M.

1. Introduction

The es-splitting operation for binary matroids is a natural generalization of n-line splitting operation on graphs. The n-line splitting operation on graphs is specified by Slater [9] as follows.

Let G be a graph and $e = uv$ be an edge of G with deg $u \geq 2n-3$ with u adjacent to $v,\ x_1,\ x_2,\ ...,\ x_k,\ y_1,\ y_2,\ ...,\ y_h$, where k and $h \geq n-2$. Let H be the graph obtained from G by replacing u by two adjacent vertices u_1 and u_2, with v adj $u_1,\ v$ adj $u_2,\ u_1$ adj x_i $(1 \leq i \leq k)$, and u_2 adj y_j $(1 \leq j \leq h)$, where deg $u_1 \geq n$ and deg $u_2 \geq n$. The transition from G to H is called an *n-line splitting operation*. In other words, we say that H is an n-line splitting of G. This construction is explicitly illustrated with the help of Figure 1.



Figure 1

Slater [9] characterized 4-connected graphs in terms of the 4-line splitting operation along with some other operations.

We study the relation between incidence matrices of the graphs G and H, respectively. Suppose G is a graph with n vertices and m edges. Let $X =$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05B35.

Key words and phrases. Graph, binary matroid, es-splitting operation, closure operator.

$\{e, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\}$ be a subset of set of edges incident at u. The incident matrix A of G is a matrix of size $n \times m$ whose rows correspond to vertices and columns correspond to edges. The row corresponding to the vertex u has 1's in the columns of $e, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k,\ y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_h$ and 0 in the other columns. The graph H has $(n+1)$ vertices and $(m+2)$ edges. The incidence matrix A' of H is a matrix of size $(n+1) \times (m+2)$. The row corresponding to u_2 has 1 in the columns of $y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_h, \gamma, a$ and 0 in other columns, where as the row corresponding to the vertex u_1 has 1 in the columns of $e, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k, a$ and 0 in other columns.

One can see that the matrix A' can be obtained from A by adjoining an extra row (corresponding to the vertex u_1) to A with entries zero every where except in the columns corresponding to $e, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k$ where it takes the value 1. The row vector obtained by addition(mod 2) of row vectors corresponding to vertices u and u_1 will corresponds to the row vector of the vertex u_2 and adjoining two columns labelled a and γ to the resulting matrix such that the column labelled a is zero everywhere except in the row corresponding to u_1 where it takes the value 1, and γ is sum of the two column vectors corresponding to the elements a and e in A'.

Noticing the above fact, Shikare and Azanchiler [1, 2] extended the notion of n-line-splitting operation from graphs to binary matroids in the following way:

Definition 1.1. *Let M be a binary matroid on a set E and let X be a subset of E with $e \in X$. Suppose A is a matrix representation of M over GF(2). Let A^e_X be a matrix obtained from A by adjoining an extra row δ_X to A with entries zero every where except in the columns corresponding to the elements of X where it takes the value 1 and then adjoining two columns labelled a and γ to the resulting matrix such that the column labelled a is zero everywhere except in the last row where it takes the value 1, and γ is the sum of the two column vectors corresponding to the elements a and e. The vector matroid of the matrix A^e_X is denoted by M^e_X. The transition from M to M^e_X is called an es-splitting operation. We call the matroid M^e_X as es-splitting matroid.*

If $|X| = 2$ and $X = \{x, y\} \subseteq E(M)$, then we denote the matroid M^e_X by $M^e_{x,y}$.

2. Properties of es-splitting matroids

Several properties concerning es-splitting operation have been explored in [2, 3, 4, 5, 8]. The following proposition characterizes the circuits of the matroid M^e_X in terms of the circuits of the matroid M(see [2]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $M(E, \mathcal{C})$ be a binary matroid on E together with the collection of circuits $\mathcal{C}$. Suppose $X \subseteq E$, $e \in X$ and $a, \gamma \notin E$. Then $M^e_X =$*

$(E \cup \{a, \gamma\}, \mathcal{C}')$ *where* $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2 \cup \mathcal{C}_3 \cup \{\Delta\}$ *with* $\Delta = \{e, a, \gamma\}$ *and*

$\mathcal{C}_0$ = $\{C \in \mathcal{C} \mid C$ *contains an even number of elements of* $X\}$;

$\mathcal{C}_1$ = *The set of minimal members of* $\{C_1 \cup C_2 \mid C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}, C_1 \cap C_2 = \phi$ *and each of* C_1 *and* C_2 *contains an odd number of elements of* X *such that* $C_1 \cup C_2$ *contains no member of* $\mathcal{C}_0\}$;

$\mathcal{C}_2$ = $\{C \cup \{a\} \mid C \in \mathcal{C}$ *and* C *contains an odd number of elements of* $X\}$;

$\mathcal{C}_3$ = $\{C \cup \{e, \gamma\} \mid C \in \mathcal{C}, e \notin C$ *and* C *contains an odd number of elements of* $X\} \cup \{(C \setminus e) \cup \{\gamma\} \mid C \in \mathcal{C}, e \in C$ *and* C *contains an odd number of elements of* $X\} \cup \{(C \setminus e) \cup \{a, \gamma\} \mid C \in \mathcal{C}, e \in C$ *and* $C \setminus e$ *contains an odd number of elements of* $X\}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$ the set of all circuits of the matroid M each of which contains an odd number of elements of the set $X \subseteq E(M)$. The members of the set $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$ are called *OX-circuits*. On the other hand, $\mathcal{C}_{EX}$ denotes the set of all circuits of M each of which contains an even number of elements of the set X. The members of the set $\mathcal{C}_{EX}$ are called *EX-circuits*.

Let M be a matroid on E with rank function r. Then the function cl from 2^E into 2^E defined, for all $A \subseteq E$, by $cl(A) = \{x \in E \mid r(A \cup x) = r(A)\}$, where 2^E denote the power set of E, is called the *closure operator* of M.

Proposition 2.2. [6] *Let* M *be a matroid on* E *and* $A \subseteq E$. *Then* $cl(A) = A \cup \{x \in E \mid M$ *has a circuit* C *such that* $x \in C \subseteq A \cup x\}$.

Lemma 2.3. [6] *Suppose* M *is a matroid on* E *with the rank function* r, $A \subseteq E$ *and* $x \in cl(A)$. *Then* $cl(A \cup x) = cl(A)$.

Let cl and cl' be the *closure operators* of M and M_X^e, respectively. The following result characterizes the rank function of the matroid M_X^e in terms of the rank function of the matroid M (see [2, 4]).

Lemma 2.4. *Let* r *and* r' *be the rank functions of the matroids* M *and* M_X^e, *respectively. Suppose that* $A \subseteq E(M)$. *Then*

(1) $r'(A) = r(A) + 1$, *if* A *contains an OX-circuit of the matroids* M;
$= r(A)$; *otherwise.*
(2) $r'(A \cup a) = r(A) + 1$;
(3) $r'(A \cup \{\gamma\}) = r(A)$ *if not* A *but* $A \cup \{e\}$ *contains an OX-circuit of* M;
$= r(A) + 2$; *if* A *contains an OX-circuit of* M *and* $e \notin cl(A)$;
$= r(A) + 1$; *otherwise*
(4) $r'(A \cup \{a, \gamma\}) = r(A) + 1$ *if* $e \in cl(A)$;
$= r(A) + 2$ *if* $e \notin cl(A)$;

The following result follows immediately from Lemma 2.4.

Corollary 2.5. *If r and r' denote the rank functions of M and M_X^e, respectively then $r'(M_X^e) = r(M) + 1$.*

Proposition 2.6. *Let M be a matroid on E, $X \subset E$, $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ and $C' \in \mathcal{C}_{EX}$ such that $e \in C \subseteq (A \cup e)$ and $e \in C' \subseteq (A \cup e)$. Then there is a circuit $C'' \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ such that $C'' \subseteq A$.*

Proof. $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ and $C' \in \mathcal{C}_{EX}$ are circuits of M such that $e \in C \subseteq (A \cup e)$ and $e \in C' \subseteq (A \cup e)$. Then the symmetric difference $(C \Delta C')$ contains a circuit C'' containing an odd number of elements of X. □

Let M be a matroid on a set E with rank function r, $X \subset E$ and $A \subseteq E$. Then for a subset A of E we define the sets $\mathcal{T}(A)$ and $\mathcal{F}(A)$ as follows.

(1) $\mathcal{T}(A) = \{x \in (E - A) \mid x \neq e$ and there is a circuit $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ of M such that $x, e \in C$ and $C \subseteq (A \cup e) \cup x\}$.
(2) $\mathcal{F}(A) = \{x \in (cl(A) - A) \mid$ there is a circuit $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ but not in C_{EX} such that $x \in C$ and $C \subset cl(A)$.

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.7. *If $e \in cl(A)$, then the set $\mathcal{T}(A) \subseteq cl(A)$.*

Proof. Let $e \in cl(A)$ and $x \in \mathcal{T}(A)$. Then there is a circuit C of the matroid M such that $x \in C$ and $C \subseteq A \cup \{e, x\}$. Consequently, $x \in cl(A \cup e)$. Since $e \in cl(A)$, $cl(A \cup e) = cl(A)$. Thus $x \in cl(A)$. □

3. The Main Theorem

Throughout this section, we assume that M is a binary matroid on a set E and M_X^e is the splitting matroid of M with respect to the subset X of E and $e \in X$. Further, $A' \subseteq E \cup \{a, \gamma\}$ and $A = A' \setminus \{a, \gamma\}$.

In the following Theorem we characterize the closure operator of the es-splitting matroid M_X^e in terms of the closure operator of the matroid M.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a binary matroid on a set E and M_X^e be the splitting matroid of M with respect to a subset X of E where $e \in X$. Suppose $A' \subseteq E \cup \{a, \gamma\}$ and $A = A' \setminus \{a, \gamma\}$. Then $cl'(A')$ is given by one of the sets $cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)$, $cl(A)$, $cl(A) \cup a$, $(cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)) \cup \gamma$, $(cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)) \cup \gamma \cup \mathcal{T}(A)$, $(cl(A) \cup \gamma) \cup \mathcal{T}(A)$ and $cl(A) \cup \{a, e, \gamma\}$.*

The proof of Theorem 4.1 follows from Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.

Lemma 3.2. *If $A' = A$ and $(A \cup e)$ contains no OX-circuit. Then $cl'(A') = cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)$.*

Proof. Suppose, $A' = A$ and $(A \cup e)$ contains no OX-circuit. Let $x \in cl'(A')$. Then $x \in A' = A$ or $x \in cl'(A') - A$. If $x \in A$, then we are through. Now if $x \in cl'(A') - A'$, then there is a circuit C' of M_X^e such that $x \in C'$ and $C' \subseteq A' \cup x = A \cup x$.

If $x \in E$, then by Proposition 2.1, C' is a circuit of M or C' is the union of two circuits C_1 and C_2, where $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$. If C' is a circuit of M, then C' is an EX-circuit. Therefore, $x \in cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)$. On the other hand, if $C' = C_1 \cup C_2$, then without loss of generality assume that $x \in C_1$. Then $C_1 \cup C_2 \subseteq A \cup x$ implies that $C_2 \subseteq A$, a contradiction.

If $x = a$, then $a \in C'$ and C' is a circuit of M_X^e contained in $A \cup a$. This implies that $C' = C \cup a$ where $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$. Thus, $a \in C' \subseteq A \cup a$ and hence $C \subseteq A$. This is a contradiction to the fact that A contains no member of $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$. Therefore, $x \neq a$.

If $x = \gamma$, then one of the following cases occurs.

(i) $C' = C \cup \{e, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \gamma$ where $e \notin C$ and C contains an odd number of elements of X in M. Then $x \in C' = C \cup \{e, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \gamma$ and this implies that $C \subseteq A$ but this is a contradiction.

(ii) $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \gamma \subseteq A \cup \gamma$ where C contains an odd number of elements of X and $e \in C$. It follows that $e \in C_{OX} \subseteq A \cup e$; a contradiction.

(iii) $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \{a, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \gamma$ where $(C \setminus e)$ contains an odd number of elements of X and $e \in C$. This implies that $a \in A$ which is also a contradiction. Therefore, $x \neq \gamma$ and $cl'(A') \subseteq cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)$.

Conversely, let $x \in cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)$. If $x \in A$, then there is nothing to prove. If $x \in (cl(A) - A)$ and $x \notin \mathcal{F}(A)$, then there exists an EX-circuit $C \in \mathcal{C}_{EX}$ of M such that $x \in C$ and $C \subseteq A \cup x$. Now $C' = C$ is a circuit of M_X^e and $x \in C' \subseteq A \cup x$. This implies that $x \in cl'(A')$. We conclude that $cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A) \subseteq cl'(A')$. □

We illustrate the above Lemma with the help of following example.

Example 1. Consider the matroid $M = M(G)$ corresponding to the graph shown in the Figure 2. Let $X = \{x, y\}$, $e = y$ and $M_{x,y}^e$ is the corresponding es-splitting matroid.



Figure 2

Let $A' = \{4, 5\}$. Then $A' = A$, $cl(A) = \{4, 5, x\}$, $\mathcal{F}(A) = \{x\}$ and $cl'(A') = \{4, 5\} = cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $A' = A$ and $cl(A)$ contains no OX-circuit. Then $cl'(A') = cl(A)$.*

Proof. Suppose, $A' = A$ and $cl(A)$ contains no OX-circuit. If $x \in cl'(A')$, then $x \in A'$ or $x \in cl'(A') - A'$. If $x \in A'$, then we are through. Now suppose $x \in cl'(A') - A'$ and let C' be a circuit of M_X^e such that $x \in C' \subseteq A' \cup x$.

If $x \in E$, then by Proposition 2.1, C' is a circuit of M or C' is the union of two circuits C_1 and C_2, belonging to the set $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$. If C' is a circuit of M, then C' is an EX-circuit. Therefore, $x \in cl(A)$. On the other hand, if $C' = C_1 \cup C_2$, then without loss of generality assume that $x \in C_1$. Then $C_1 \cup C_2 \subseteq A \cup x$ implies that $C_2 \subseteq A$, a contradiction.

If $x = a$, then $a \in C' \subseteq A \cup a$ in M_X^e and this implies that $C' = C \cup a$ where $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ is a circuit of M. But $a \in C' \subseteq A \cup a$ implies that $C \subseteq A$ and this is a contradiction to the fact that A contains no member of $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$. Therefore, $x \neq a$.

If $x = \gamma$, then $\gamma \in C'$ and $C' \subseteq A \cup \gamma$. It follows that C' has one of the following three types of forms.

(i) $C' = C \cup \{e, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \gamma$ where $e \notin C$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ is a circuit of M. Then $C \subseteq A$ and we get a contradiction.

(ii) $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \gamma \subseteq A \cup \gamma$ where $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ is a circuit of M and $e \in C$. Consequently, $C \subseteq A \cup e$ and $e \in cl(A)$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $e \notin cl(A)$.

(iii) $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \{a, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \gamma$ where $(C \setminus e) \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ is a circuit of M and $e \in C$. We conclude that $C \subseteq A \cup e$ and hence $e \in cl(A)$, a contradiction.

Conversely, let $x \in cl(A)$. If $x \in A$, then we are through. If $x \in cl(A) - A$, then there is a circuit C of M such that $x \in C \subseteq A \cup x$. As $cl(A)$ contains no member of $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$, C contains an even number of elements of X. Thus C is also a circuit of M_X^e. Thus, $x \in cl'(A')$. This completes the proof of the Lemma. □

In order to elaborate the above Lemma, consider $A' = \{1, 5\}$ in Example 1. Then $A' = A$, $cl(A) = \{1, 5, 6\}$ and $cl'(A') = \{1, 5, 6\}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose $e \notin cl(A)$ and one of the following conditions is true.*

(1) $A' = A$ and A contains an OX-circuit.

(2) $A' = A \cup a$.

Then $cl'(A') = cl(A) \cup a$.

Proof. Suppose $e \notin cl(A)$, $A' = A$ and there is an OX-circuit in A. Let $x \in cl'(A') - A'$ and C' be a circuit of M_X^e such that $x \in C' \subseteq A' \cup x$.

If $x \in E$, then by Proposition 2.1, C' is a circuit of M or C' is the union of two circuits C_1 and C_2, from the set $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$. If C' is a circuit of M, then C' is an EX-circuit. Therefore, $x \in cl(A)$. On the other hand if $C' = C_1 \cup C_2$, then without loss of generality, assume that $x \in C_1$ and $C_1 \subseteq A \cup x$. Consequently, $x \in cl(A)$.

If $x = a$, then $x \in cl(A) \cup a$ and if $x = \gamma$, then $\gamma \in C' \subseteq A \cup \gamma$ and $e \notin cl(A)$. This implies that C' has one of the following three forms.

(i) $C' = C \cup \{e, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \gamma$ where $e \notin C$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ is a circuit of M. Then $x \in C' = C \cup \{e, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \gamma$. This implies that $e \in A$, a contradiction.

(ii) $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \gamma \subseteq A \cup \gamma$ where $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ is a circuit of M and $e \in C$. Then $e \in cl(A)$ and this leads to a contradiction.

(iii) $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \{a, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \gamma$ where $(C \setminus e) \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ is a circuit of M and $e \in C$. We conclude that $e \in cl(A)$, $a \in A$ and this leads to a contradiction. Therefore, $x \neq \gamma$ and $cl'(A') \subseteq cl(A) \cup a$.

Conversely, let $x \in cl(A) \cup a$. If $x = a$, then $a \in cl'(A')$ as A contains an element C of $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$. Moreover, $a \in C' = C \cup a \subseteq A \cup a$ in M_X^e. If $x \in A$, then we are through. Suppose $x \in cl(A) - A$ and let C be a circuit of M contained in X such that $x \in C \subseteq A \cup x$. If $C \in \mathcal{C}_{EX}$ is a circuit of M, then $C' = C$; otherwise $C' = C \cup a$ is a circuit of M_X^e. Further, $x \in C$ implies that $x \in cl'(A \cup a)$ whereas $a \in cl'(A')$ implies $cl'(A \cup a) = cl'(A')$. Thus $x \in cl'(A')$ and $cl(A) \cup a \subseteq cl'(A')$, as desired. Part (2) follows by argument similar to one as given in part (1). □

To illustrate the above Lemma, let $A' = \{1, 4, 6, x\}$ in Example 1. Then $A' = A$, $cl(A) = \{1, 4, 6, x\}$ and $cl'(A') = \{1, 4, 6, x, a\}$. And if $A' = \{1, 6, a\}$ then $A = \{1, 6\}$, $cl(A) = \{1, 5, 6\}$ and $cl'(A') = \{1, 5, 6, a\}$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $A' = A$ and $A \cup e$ contains an OX-circuit but A contains no OX-circuit. Then $cl'(A') = (cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)) \cup \gamma$.*

Proof. Suppose $A' = A$ and $A \cup e$ contains an OX-circuit but A contains no OX-circuit. Let $x \in cl'(A')$. If $x \in A'$ or $x = \gamma$, then we are through. Suppose that $x \in cl'(A') - A'$. Then there is a circuit C' of M_X^e such that $x \in C' \subseteq A' \cup x$.

If $x \in E$, then by Proposition 2.1, C' is a circuit of M or C' is the union of two circuits C_1 and C_2, from the set $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$. If C' is a circuit of M, then C' is an EX-circuit. Therefore, $x \in cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)$. On the other hand, if $C' = C_1 \cup C_2$, then without loss of generality assume that $x \in C_1$. Then $C_1 \cup C_2 \subseteq A \cup x$ implies that $C_2 \subseteq A$, a contradiction.

If $x = a$, then there is a circuit C' of M_X^e such that $a \in C' \subseteq A \cup a$. This implies that $C' = C \cup a$ for some $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$. Consequently, $C \subseteq A$. This is a contradiction to the fact that A contains no member of $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$. Therefore, $x \neq a$.

Conversely, let $x \in cl(A) - (\mathcal{F}(A) \cup \gamma)$. If $x \in (cl(A) - A) - \mathcal{F}(A)$, then there is a circuit $C \in \mathcal{C}_{EX}$ such that $x \in C \subseteq A \cup x = A' \cup x$. This implies $x \in cl'(A')$. If $x = \gamma$ and there is a member C of $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$ such that $e \in C \subseteq A \cup e$, then $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \gamma$ is a circuit of M_X^e contained in $A \cup \gamma = A' \cup \gamma$. Therefore, $\gamma \in cl'(A')$. Thus, we conclude that $(cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)) \cup \gamma \subseteq cl'(A')$. This completes the proof. □

To ellaborate the above Lemma, let $A = \{2, 6\}$ in Example 1. Then $A' = A$, $cl(A) = \{2, 6, y\}$, $\mathcal{F}(A) = \{y\}$ and $cl'(A') = \{2, 6, \gamma\} = (cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)) \cup \gamma$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $A' = A \cup \gamma$, $e \notin cl(A)$ and $cl(A)$ contains an OX-circuit but A contains no OX-circuit. Then $cl'(A') = (cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)) \cup \gamma \cup \mathcal{T}(A)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in cl'(A') - (A')$. Then there is a circuit say C' of M_X^e such that $x \in C' \subseteq A' \cup x = A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$.

If $\gamma \in C'$, then $C' \subseteq A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$ and one of the following four cases occurs.

(i) $C' = C \cup \{e, \gamma\}$ where C is a member of $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$ and $e \notin C$. Then $x \in C' = C \cup \{e, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$ and this implies that $x \in (C \cup e) \subseteq A \cup x$. Consequently, $x = e \in cl(A)$ and $C \subseteq A$ but this is a contradiction.

(ii) $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \{a, \gamma\}$ where C is a circuit of M containing e and $C \setminus e$ is a member of $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$. Then $x \in C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \{a, \gamma\}$ and $C' \subseteq A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$ therefore, $(C \setminus e) \cup a \subseteq A \cup x$. Consequently, $x = a$ and $(C \setminus e) \subseteq A$. As $C \subseteq A \cup e$, it follows that C is a member of $\mathcal{C}_{EX}$ contained in $cl(A)$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $e \notin cl(A)$.

(iii) $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \gamma$ where C is a circuit of M containing e and $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$. Then $x \in C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \gamma \subseteq A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$ and hence $x \in C \setminus e \subseteq A \cup x$. Thus, $x \in C \subseteq A \cup \{e, x\}$ and $x \in \mathcal{T}(A)$ as desired.

(iv) $C' = \{a, e, \gamma\}$. Then $x \in C' = \{a, e, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$ and $x = a$ or $x = e$. In either case, we get a contradiction.

If $\gamma \notin C'$, then $C' \subseteq A \cup x$ and one of the following two cases occurs.

(i) C' is a circuit of M_X^e containing an even number of elements of X. Then $C' = C$ or $C' = C_1 \cup C_2$ where C, C_1, $C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$. Then by argument similar to one as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we conclude that $x \in cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)$.

(ii) C' is a circuit of M_X^e containing an odd number of elements of X. Then $C' = C \cup a \subseteq A \cup x$ where $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$. This implies that $x = a$ and $C \subseteq A$, a contradiction. Therefore, C' contains an even number of elements of X.

Consequently, $cl'(A) \subseteq (cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)) \cup \gamma \cup \mathcal{T}(A)$.

Conversely, let $x \in (cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)) \cup \gamma \cup \mathcal{T}(A)$. If $x \in (A \cup \gamma)$, then we are through. In the case $x \in \mathcal{T}(A)$, there is a circuit C of M such that $x \in C \subseteq (A \cup \{e, x\})$. Then $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \gamma$ is a circuit of M_X^e and $x \in C' \subseteq A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$. We conclude that $x \in cl'(A \cup \gamma)$. If $x \in [(cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)) \cup \gamma - (A \cup \gamma)]$, then there is a circuit $C \in \mathcal{C}_{EX}$ of M such that $x \in C \subseteq A \cup x$. Thus, $C' = C$ is a circuit of M_X^e and $x \in C'$ of M_X^e contained in $A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$. This implies $x \in cl'(A')$ and we conclude that $(cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)) \cup \gamma \subseteq cl'(A')$. □

For iilustation of the above Lemma, let $A = \{4, 5, \gamma\}$ in Example 1. Then $A = \{4, 5\}$, $cl(A) = \{4, 5, x\}$, $\mathcal{F}(A) = \{x\}$, $\mathcal{T}(A) = \{3\}$ and $cl'(A') = \{3, 4, 5, \gamma\} = (cl(A) - \mathcal{F}(A)) \cup \gamma \cup \mathcal{T}(A)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $A' = A \cup \gamma$, $cl(A)$ contains no OX-circuit and $e \notin cl(A)$. Then $cl'(A') = cl(A) \cup \gamma \cup \mathcal{T}(A)$.*

Proof. Suppose $A' = A \cup \gamma$, $cl(A)$ contains no OX-circuit and $e \notin cl(A)$. If $x \in A' = A \cup \gamma$, then $x \in (cl(A) \cup \gamma)$. If $x \in cl'(A') - A'$, then there is a circuit C' of M_X^e such that $x \in C'$ and $C' \subseteq A' \cup x$. If $\gamma \notin C'$, then $x \in C' \subseteq A \cup x$. This implies that $x \in cl(A)$ in M. If $\gamma \in C'$, then C' has one of the following forms.

(i) $C' = C \cup \{e, \gamma\}$ where $C \in \mathcal{C}_O X$ and $e \notin C$. Then $x \in C' = C \cup \{e, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$. This implies that $C \subseteq A$ and $x = e$ which is not possible as A contains no member of $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$.

(ii) $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \{a, \gamma\}$ where C is a circuit of M such that $e \in C$ and $C \setminus e$ contains an odd number of elements of X. Then $x \in C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \{a, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$ and $(C \setminus e) \cup a \subseteq A \cup x$. Therefore, $x = a$ and $(C \setminus e) \subseteq A$. Further, $C \subseteq A \cup e$ implies that $e \in cl(A)$ and this is a contradiction to the fact that $e \notin cl(A)$.

(iii) $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \gamma$ where $e \in C$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ is a circuit of M. Then $x \in C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \gamma \subseteq A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$ and this implies that $x \in C \setminus e \subseteq A \cup x$. That is $x \in C \subseteq A \cup \{e, x\}$. We conclude that $x \in \mathcal{T}(A)$.

(iv) $C' = \{a, e, \gamma\}$. Then $x \in C' = \{a, e, \gamma\} \subseteq A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$ and we conclude that $x = a$ or $x = e$. In either case, we get a contradiction. We conclude that, $cl'(A') \subseteq cl(A) \cup \gamma \cup \mathcal{T}(A)$.

Conversely, let $x \in cl(A) \cup \gamma \cup \mathcal{T}(A)$. If $x = \gamma$ or $x \in A$, then $x \in cl'(A \cup \gamma)$. If $x \in cl(A) - A$, then there is a circuit say C of M such that $x \in C \subseteq A \cup x$. As $cl(A)$ contains no member of $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$, $C \in \mathcal{C}_{EX}$. Thus, C is also a circuit of M_X^e and $x \in cl'(A')$. In the case $x \in \mathcal{T}(A)$, there is a circuit C of M such that $x \in C \subseteq A \cup \{e, x\}$. Then $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \gamma$ is a circuit of M_X^e and $x \in C' \subseteq A \cup \{\gamma, x\}$. We conclude that $x \in cl'(A \cup \gamma) = cl'(A')$. □

To exemplify the above Lemma, let $A = \{1, 6\}$ in Example 1. Then $A' = A$, $cl(A) = \{1, 5, 6\}$, $\mathcal{T}(A) = \{2\}$ and $cl'(A') = \{1, 2, 5, 6, \gamma\} = cl(A) \cup \gamma \cup \mathcal{T}(A)$.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose one of the following conditions is satisfied*

(1) $A' = A \cup \{a, \gamma\}$;
(2) $A' = A \cup a$ and $e \in cl(A)$;
(3) $A' = A \cup \gamma$ and A contains an OX-circuit;
(4) $A' = A \cup \gamma$ and $e \in cl(A)$; and
(5) $A' = A$, A contains an OX-circuit and $e \in cl(A)$.

Then $cl'(A') = cl(A) \cup \{a, e, \gamma\}$.

Proof. Assume that $x \in cl'(A')$. If $x \in A'$ then $x \in A$ or $x \in \{a, \gamma\}$. In either case $x \in cl(A) \cup \{a, e, \gamma\}$. So, assume that $x \in cl'(A') - A'$. Then there is a circuit C' of M_X^e such that $x \in C' \subseteq A' \cup x$. If $x \in E$, then by Proposition

2.1, C' is a circuit of M or $C' = C_1 \cup C_2$, where $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$. In either case $x \in cl(A)$. Therefore, we conclude that $cl'(A') \subseteq cl(A) \cup \{a, e, \gamma\}$.

Conversely, let $x \in cl(A) \cup \{a, e, \gamma\}$. If $x = a$, then in Case 1) and 2) $a \in A'$.
Case 3) If C is a member of $\mathcal{C}_{OX}$ contained in A, then $C' = C \cup a \subseteq A \cup a$ forms a circuit of M_X^e. Thus, $a \in cl'(A')$.
Case 4) If $\gamma \in A'$ and $e \in cl(A)$, then there is a EX or OX-circuit C containing e. Then $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \{a, \gamma\}$ or $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \gamma$ are circuits of M_X^e contained in $A' \cup a = A \cup \{a, \gamma\}$.
Case 5) If A contains an OX-circuit, then $a \in cl'(A')$.

Now assume that $x \in cl(A) - A$ and let C be a circuit of M such that $x \in C \subseteq A \cup x$. If $C \in \mathcal{C}_{EX}$, then $C' = C$; otherwise $C' = C \cup a$ is a circuit of M_X^e. Thus, $x \in C$ implies that $x \in cl'(A \cup a)$. As $a \in cl'(A')$, it follows that $x \in cl'(A \cup a) = cl'(A')$.

If $x = \gamma$, then in Cases 1, 3 and 4, $\gamma \in A'$ implies $\gamma \in cl'(A')$. In Cases 2 and 5, $e \in cl(A)$ then one of the following two cases occurs.

(i) $e \in C \subseteq A \cup e$ where $C \in \mathcal{C}_{OX}$ and $C \subseteq cl(A)$. Then $C' = (C \setminus e) \cup \gamma \subseteq A \cup \gamma$ and we conclude that $\gamma \in cl'(A')$.
(ii) $e \in C \subseteq A \cup e$ where $C \in \mathcal{C}_{EX}$ and $C \subseteq cl(A)$. Then $C' = ((C \setminus e) \cup \{a, \gamma\}) \subseteq A \cup \{a, \gamma\}$ and since $a \in cl'(A')$, $\gamma \in cl'(A \cup a) = cl'(A')$. This implies that $cl(A) \cup \{a, \gamma\} \subseteq cl'(A')$.

In all the above cases, we observe that $a, \gamma \in cl'(A')$. Consequently, $\{a, e, \gamma\}$ forms a circuit in M_X^e and hence $e \in cl'(A')$. This completes the proof. □

We illustrate the above Lemma with the help of Example 1 for different types of A.

(1) If $A' = \{a, \gamma\}$ then $cl'(A') = \{a, e, \gamma\}$.
(2) If $A' = \{a, 6, 2\}$ then $cl'(A') = \{a, 6, 2, e, \gamma\}$.
(3) If $A' = \{4, 5, x, \gamma\}$ then $cl'(A') = \{4, 5, x, a, e, \gamma\}$.
(4) If $A' = \{e, \gamma\}$ then $cl'(A') = \{a, e, \gamma\}$.
(5) If $A' = \{2, 6, y\}$ then $cl'(A') = \{2, 6, a, e, \gamma\}$.

4. Flats of es-splitting matroids

In the following Theorem we characterize the flats of the es-splitting matroid M_X^e in terms of the flats of the matroid M.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a binary matroid on a set E and M_X^e be the splitting matroid of M with respect to a subset X of E where $e \in X$. Suppose $A' \subseteq E \cup \{a, \gamma\}$ and $A = A' \setminus \{a, \gamma\}$ is a flat of M. Then A' is a flat of M_X^e if one one of the following condition is satisfied*

(1) $A' = A$ and $(A \cup e)$ contains no OX-circuit and $\mathcal{F}(A) = \phi$.
(2) $A' = A$ and $cl(A)$ contains no OX-circuit.
(3) $A' = A \cup a$, $e \notin cl(A)$.

(4) $A' = A \cup \gamma$, $e \notin cl(A)$ *and* $cl(A)$ *contains an* OX*-circuit but* A *contains no* OX*-circuit,* $\mathcal{F}(A)) = \phi$ *and* $\mathcal{T}(A) = \phi$.

(5) $A' = A \cup \gamma$, $cl(A)$ *contains no* OX*-circuit and* $e \notin cl(A)$ *and* $\mathcal{T}(A) = \phi$ *and*

(6) $A' = A \cup \{a, \gamma\}$ *and* $e \in A$.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 follows from Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8. We illustrate the above Theorem with the help of Example 1. The set of all flats of M

$\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{6\}, \{x\}, \{y\}, \{1,4\}, \{1,3\}, \{1,x\}, \{1,y\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{2,5\}, \{2,x\}, \{3,4\}, \{3,5\}, \{3,6\}, \{4,6\}, \{4,y\}, \{1,5,6\}, \{x,y,3\}, \{2,6,y\}, \{1,2,3,4\}, \{4,5,x\}, \{1,2,5,6,y\}, \{3,4,5,x,y\}, \{1,4,5,6,x\}, \{2,3,6,x,y\}, E\}$.

The set of all flats of $M^e_{x,y}$ is $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{6\}, \{x\}, \{y\}, \{a\}, \{\gamma\}, \{1,4\}, \{1,3\}, \{1,x\}, \{1,y\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{2,5\}, \{2,x\}, \{3,4\}, \{3,5\}, \{3,6\}, \{4,6\}, \{4,y\}, \{1,a\}, \{2,a\}, \{3,a\}, \{4,a\}, \{5,a\}, \{6,a\}, \{x,a\}, \{1,\gamma\}, \{3,\gamma\}, \{4,\gamma\}, \{5,\gamma\}, \{x,\gamma\}, \{1,5,6\}, \{x,y,3\}, \{a,y,\gamma\}, \{2,6,\gamma\}, \{1,2,3,4\}, \{4,5,a,x\}, \{1,2,5,6,\gamma\}, \{3,a,x,y,\gamma\}, \{2,6,a,y,\gamma\}, \{3,4,5,a,x,y\}, \{1,4,5,6,a,x\}, \{1,2,5,6,a,y,\gamma\}, \{2,3,6,a,x,y,\gamma\}, E\}$.

References

[1] Azanchiler Habib, *Some new operations on matroids and related results,* Ph. D. Thesis, University of Pune (2005).

[2] Azanchiler Habib, Extension of Line-Splitting operation from graphs to binary matroid, *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **24** (2006), 3-12.

[3] Azanchiler Habib, A characterization of the bases of Line-Splitting matroids, *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **26** (2007), 5-15.

[4] S. B. Dhotre, P. P. Malavadkar and M. M. Shikare, On 3-connected es-splitting binary matroids, *Asian-European J. Math.* **9** (1)(2016), 1650017-26.

[5] P. P. Malavadkar, S. B. Dhotre and M. M. Shikare, A Characterization of Cocircuits of an es-Splitting Matroid (preprint).

[6] J. G. Oxley, *Matroid Theory*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1992).

[7] T. T. Raghunathan, M. M. Shikare and B. N. Waphare, Splitting in a Binary Matroid, *Discrete Math.* **184** (1998), 267-271.

[8] M. M. Shikare, S. B. Dhotre and P. P. Malavadkar, A forbidden-minor characterization for the class of regular matroids which yield the cographic es-splitting matroids, *Lobachevskii J. of Math.* **34** (2013), 173-180.

[9] P. J. Slater, A Classification of 4-connected graphs, *J. Combin. Theory* **17** (1974), 282-298.

[10] W. T. Tutte, Lectures on Matroids, *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards* **B69** (1965), 1-47.

[11] W. T. Tutte, Connectivity in Matroids, *Canad. J. Math.* **18** (1966), 1301-1324.

1 Department of Mathematics
Savitribai Phule Pune University
Pune-411007, India
E-mail address: dsantosh2@yahoo.co.in

2 MIT College of Engineering
Pune-411038, India
E-mail address: pmalavadkar@gmail.com

3 Department of Mathematics
Savitribai Phule Pune University
Pune-411007, India
E-mail address: mmshikare@gmail.com